



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
08/823,980	03/25/1997	AMY J. WEINER	CHIR-0108	8052
7590 05/07/2004			EXAMINER	
ALISA A. HARBIN, ESQ CHIRON CORPORATION			SCHWADRON, RONALD B	
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
4560 HORTON STREET			1644	
EMERYVILLE	, CA 946082916		DATE MAILED: 05/07/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

, ,,		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		08/823,980	WEINER ET AL.			
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Ron Schwadron, Ph.D.	1644			
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	on appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address			
A SH THE - Exte afte - If th - If No - Fail Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TON. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT statute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
		This action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) 41-44,52,53,55 and 56 is/are per 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 41-44,52,53,55 and 56 is/are rej Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and claim(s) are subject to restriction are subject to restriction and claim(s) are subje	thdrawn from consideration.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) objected to by	y the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection t	o the drawing(s) be held in abeyanc	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)[_	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the c The oath or declaration is objected to by the		- ·			
	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)[_] a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents. Certified copies of the priority documents. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bases the attached detailed Office action for a second company.	ments have been received. ments have been received in Appendiction of the priority documents have been received in the priority documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this National Stage			
Attachmen		F				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94)	4) LInterview Sur Paper No(s)/r	nmary (PTO-413) Mail Date			
3) 🔲 Inforr	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S r No(s)/Mail Date		rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 08/823,980

Art Unit: 1644

1. Prosecution of the instant application is reopened.

2. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

- 3. Claims 53 is provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claim 51 of copending Application No. 08/437952. This is a provisional double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented. The two sets of claims read on the same peptide.
- 4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Application/Control Number: 08/823,980

Art Unit: 1644

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

5. Claims 41-44,52,55,56 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 21,47,51,54 of copending Application No. 08/437952. This is a provisional double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not yet been patented.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the referenced copending application and would be covered by any patent granted on that copending application since the referenced copending application and the instant application are claiming common subject matter, as follows. The two sets of claims are drawn to immunogenic compositions/peptides versus immunogenic peptides which differ in scope but encompass the same peptide/peptide conjugates. The peptide of SEQ. ID. NO:8 is derived from HCV and is the same in both applications. Diphtheria toxin is a non-HCV amino acid sequence. The agents of claims 43 and 44 are well known in the art as linking agents.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant would be prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application in the other copending application. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

6. Claim 53 is provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 21,47,54 of copending Application No. 08/437952. This is a provisional double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not yet been patented.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the referenced copending application and would be covered by any patent granted on that copending application since the referenced copending application and the instant application are claiming common subject matter, as follows. The two sets of claims are drawn immunogenic peptides which differ in scope but encompass the same peptide.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant would be prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application in the other

Application/Control Number: 08/823,980

Art Unit: 1644

copending application. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

7. Claims 41-44,52,53,55,56 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 43,44,47,48,50,51 of copending Application No. 08/438183. This is a provisional double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not yet been patented.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the referenced copending application and would be covered by any patent granted on that copending application since the referenced copending application and the instant application are claiming common subject matter, as follows. The two sets of claims are drawn to immunogenic compositions/peptides versus immunogenic compositions which differ in scope but contain the same peptide/peptide conjugates. The peptide of SEQ. ID. NO:8 is derived from HCV and is the same in both applications. The agents of claims 43 and 44 are well known in the art as linking agents.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant would be prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application in the other copending application. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

- 8. No claim is allowed.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ron Schwadron, Ph.D. whose telephone number is 571 272-0851. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 7:30am to 6:00pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Chan, can be reached at 571 272 0841. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

.

Art Unit: 1644

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ron Schwadron, Ph.D.
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1644

PARTITION OF TOOL OF THE PARTITION OF TH